

Chaos to M-theory : a question of Entropy

By

Indana Simonde

ISBN : 9781696781091

The following are the proceedings of an assumed court case (of which all men and women, children and creatures are invited). This court case is the proceedings of the following plaintiff and accused (or respondent) with regards to the trial of humanity. The question(s) with regards to the seriousness of the trial are such that, all men, women and children are now subject to oath. Where a new page is taken, a new line of enquiry is being processed and where a new line of enquiry is being processed, a new series of questions will likely open up. Following this trial, you, the audience (peers and witnesses, councillors and judges former and future) will each have a chance to defend yourselves as to the line of enquiry. Where you are unable to defend all men, women and children within a line of enquiry, it may help your defence where asked for key information to support your neighbour. The idea that *grasses* or the telling of express information that may corrupt your trial through inaccurate information is the sole reason why this trial has already been tried and the process is assumed. You get to go to heaven for the sake of one of Mankind's greatest achievements. So, why a trial?

The Evidence

1. Your brain and all energy that is expressed from birth to death. *Your brain is an amazing piece of biological technology with the ability to answer any number of human questions and emotions at the same time. Whilst it may at times be difficult to process emotions, feelings and beliefs or tests of character or even a soul and the reality of a soul / life without a soul, it is harder to face a reality without a body so lets take this line of questioning slowly.*

How do you feel about being the bearer of all the worlds problems and then answering to the world for all of the same problems in an age that still uses implements for killing, torture or apparently historic pride in the ability to maim and wound other human beings regardless of whether you class one genus or race as distinct from another?

Not guilty.

How do you feel about being the bearer of all the worlds problems and then answering to the world for the same problems as created by your forbears or people who are no longer here present, though their memories live on?

Not guilty.

2. Every thought from your mind.

For every thought you have, there is an equal and opposite thought, which is being counterbalanced and commanded from a central court house. Let's assume that American courts are corrupted. Lets assume that British neutrality is corrupted. Let's assume that European and Chinese as well as Russian or Australian ideals are equally corrupted. Therefore the only two choices are the Middle East (death place of the saviour of the world) and Africa (far from equal to the rest of the world, of which you will have to prove that they are).

How do you feel about taking on the sins of other people?

Not guilty.

How do you feel about taking on the sins of other communities?

Not guilty.

How do you plead with regards to the death of Religion?

Not guilty.

How do you plead with regards to the death of all Religion(s)?

Not guilty.

3. Every thought from every mind.

If all men, women and children were to sentence a man to death in the modern age, as the last man to be executed for the crime of heresy, abduction of religion and destruction of all of mankind through to the ultimate blasphemy how would you plead – making note that you are under oath?

Not guilty.

What is your defence for allowing a world with so much to simply run itself to ruin with regards to resources or otherwise.

Not guilty.

How do you plead to charges of intolerable injustice?

Not guilty.

4. The birth of Time to the end of all of Time, history and all living things.

If all men, women and children are able to speak to god and commune with Jesus, then time is not linear. Therefore you are able to speak to a man who has been dead for 2,000 years and who only lived for approximately 33 years or so. Therefore as a force for good and for bad, all things are relative correct?

Defendant nods head.

Therefore, in your life-time, you are in conscious correspondence with a deity made human, of which, your positive thoughts have a positive influence. Your encouragement has a positive influence, yet your thoughts of negativity or desecrating a persons thoughts through thought, word or action will have a negative influence – is this correct?

(note on free will) defendant does not react.

The witnesses

After the removal of free will from the stand a simple question must be answered. Do humans have will-power when there is no will power?

Male perspective: It's important to note that there is a circumstantial system of forwards and backwards as it were. To and fro in which, where a person is able to motion towards a societal good be it as a merchant or as a consumer, that societal good is a good to them(selves). But where that good is a good to all men inherent, regardless of good or evil, then they will ultimately bound within the rules of the same forwards and backwards. Where a thought is being processed and promoted towards say a Deity, such as Jesus, through reading the bible and forcing him to repeat the words of the pious, causality would assume that Jesus will do as he is asked, due to God's will.

First mistake, one person is not god.

Second mistake, one nation is not god.

Third mistake, one time period is not god.

Fourth mistake, one sex is not god.

Fifth mistake, this is the trial of all life, not one.

Female perspective: Do I get a choice to be male or female? Do I get a choice prior to my birth as to who my parents are or what *their* life circumstances are? I am born, I die unequal and yet I fight for all. I fight for myself and those lower than me. I fight for myself and those above me. But when and why? For honour? For peace? For love of good over evil? For faith, or for all religions or just one? If this is the trial of all sentient creatures, of all sentient life, then how do I judge the future knowing that the past is gone?

First mistake, one person is not god.

Second mistake, one nation is not god.

Third mistake, one time period is not god.

Fourth mistake, one sex is not god.

Fifth mistake, this is the trial of all life, not one.

Male perspective: If time is non-linear, then I would assume that I could jump to any time period in space and time, throughout all of history. Where would I go? To the printing of the first bible or the first biblical word uttered? No, to the birth place of a man who would be king, and then I would simply say nothing for he is king.

Female perspective: If time is non-linear, would I sabotage the first sword or the first gun? Would I destroy the first plans for boats or create espionage amongst

those people who don't believe in peace? Would I attempt to eat from a tree that I was told not to or would I carry on as before with no thoughts as to the same voice in both male and female?

Male perspective: I don't know who they are but every day, every day they tempt and push and charge and corrupt without a view to the effect on all, not just on my own body.

Female perspective: I don't know who they are but every day, every day, they tempt and push and charge and judge and corrupt a world that wasn't ready for peace.

The Defence

Who has a right to decide on peace, and yet no one man, woman or child. No one state, or community of states has as yet found a way to speak to all men, women and children about peace. Religion has failed mankind and is lost. The future is grim for those who survive, and yet the message is clear for those who do not. You get one chance to change the course of all things, so judge on your own; regardless of bias, judge all things and all history, past and present and future, but careful as this will be your legacy.

Male perspective: I prayed when they took everything. I watched the blood and the water, the bread and the gold. I watched all of it, when they stole my past, my present is destroyed and my future is a forsaken empty hovel of a mess. I have nothing.

Female perspective: My kids become the future, but I have nothing bar them. No education, no opportunity, no value or role in society, just unending anger for someone else's words.

Male perspective: My youth is all but drained from my body. My eyes are tired at constantly searching out the remains of sunlight during the day. At night there is too much darkness that covers too many opportunities for negativity.

Female perspective: What youth I remember is led astray by the same dreams of togetherness as the other side. Togetherness or alone, I am always followed, watched, hounded and harassed for the sake of this curse of togetherness.

The accused

The accused was cast down, betrayed and murdered. He was let to bleed out (potential haemophiliac) without any support or aid from a responsible physician. He carried a heavy wooden object of which he died upon, which could have provided air for the entire planet through photosynthesis. He tried to quell a rebellion or two and grew angry with capitalism (still in it's infancy at this point) and equally with religion (also long dead by now). He terrorised men and women with a view to making them nice and all the whilst dealing with thoughts of good and moral (or bad and not so moral). Now, how many negative thoughts towards the leader of religion do you have on a day to day basis?

Male perspective : Jesus?

Female perspective : The Queen? The pope? Which one?

Instances of Corruption

Have you had a vote as to whether the United Nations takes control of your governments military forces and whether there is a United Nations Headquarters building in the country of your current residence?

Male perspective:

Female perspective:

Result of the proceedings

1. All men are found guilty of subjecting untold horrors upon mankind in the first instance.
2. All men are found lacking in psychological and social development

1. All women are found guilty of allowing and subjecting untold horrors upon mankind in the first instance.
2. All women are found lacking psychological and social development

- All children, powerless and not so, are found guilty of being subject to untold horrors and following the will of immorality through the actions of their elders in the first instance.
- All children, powerless and not son, are found lacking psychological and social development.

Defence and security from countries weaker than your own military as a point of note:

How often is it likely that a country weaker than your own military at this point in history will stand up to your own?

How often is it likely that a country with a weak military is likely to have infrastructure to support people who cannot support themselves?

How much of a strain is a weak military to the infrastructure of a strong military?

What effect to poverty does a military budget have?

When a hurricane hits a small community, who supports that community? Who buries the dead when all are dead?

When a plane hits a building, who buries the dead?

When you take offence, who buries the dead?

When god takes offence, who buries the dead?

When you read words, who buries the dead?

When Jesus died, who buried him?

Traditionally during crucifixion, who buried the dead?

When thinking of mass graves, who buries and identifies the dead?

When thinking of Roman (guilt and history) who is the pope?

When thinking of Roman (empire and conquest) what is the difference between a minister and a president?

When thinking about Roman (civilisation and wealth) is there a difference between wealth and civilisation of citizens, and where does equality come from?

When thinking about Roman (mafia / crime) is there a difference between a Mafioso and a police officer?

When thinking about Roman (leaders and slavery) how many slaves were freed in order to make Italy in its modern form?

When thinking about Roman (citizens) were all inhabitants of the land free?

When thinking about (citizenship and rights) how did all citizens gain equal rights?

When thinking about empire (Greek vs Roman) who won the war?

When thinking about the construction of peace (is there one nation that is more peaceful, both internally and externally than any other in the modern age)?

When thinking about the maintenance of peace (is there one nation that is more peaceful, both internally and externally than any other in the modern age)?

When thinking about the salvation of a nation, what is more important, healthcare or education and why?

When thinking about the salvation of one person, what is more important, healthcare or education and why?

If Jesus was alive would he say forgive one another or would he kill?

Who did Jesus kill in his entire lifetime apart from himself?

What is your name?

What is your role in society?

Do you have a voice?

Do you believe in war or peace?

Do you believe in God as a god of war? Or God as a god of peace?

Do you believe that anyone should starve because of the colour of their skin?

Do you believe anyone should die because of the colour of their skin?

Do you believe that Jesus came to hold a grudge or to watch people starve?

Is money or power more important?

Which one rules the world money or power?

Do you believe in God? Why?

If you had a choice, would you choose money or power or god?

If you had a choice tomorrow, would you choose money or power or god?

If you had a choice three years from now, would you choose money or power or god?

If you had a choice twenty years ago, would you choose money or power or god?

Look online for an image of one child, beaten, starving, hungry etc.
What is more important, that child or a donkey?

Is equality a reality? For whom?

Are you equal to anyone? Why?

Is anyone equal to you? Why?

Notes

Notes

Notes

Notes

Notes

Notes

Proof